	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/090,358	LOPRETE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael K. GRAY	3746
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Michael K. GRAY</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John Siragusa, Esq.</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 October 2003	Time: <u>10:15 a.m. ET</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: claims 18-20 attorney agreed to cancel		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Attorney agreed to cancel claims 18-20.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Milalk fin		
(Examiner/SPE-Signature) (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)